

Oakland University



About This Report

About Your High-Impact Practices Report

Due to their positive associations with student learning and retention, certain undergraduate opportunities are designated "high-impact." High-Impact Practices (HIPs) share several traits: They demand considerable time and effort, facilitate learning outside of the classroom, require meaningful interactions with faculty and students, encourage collaboration with diverse others, and provide frequent and substantive feedback. As a result, participation in these practices has the potential to be very influential and rewarding (Kilgo et al., 2015; Kuh, 2008). NSSE founding director George Kuh recommends that institutions should aspire for all students to participate in at least two HIPs over the course of their undergraduate experience—one during the first year and one in the context of their major (NSSE, 2007).

NSSE asks students about their participation in the six HIPs shown in the box at right. Unlike most questions on the NSSE survey, the HIP questions are not limited to the current school year. Thus, senior students' responses include participation from prior years.

High-Impact Practices in NSSE

Service-Learning Courses that included a community-based project

Learning Community

Formal program where groups of students take two or more classes together

Research with Faculty Work with a faculty member on a research project

Internship or Field Experience Internship, co-op, field experience, student teaching, or clinical placement

Study Abroad

Culminating Senior Experience Capstone course, senior project or thesis, portfolio, recital, comprehensive exam, etc.

Report Sections

•	
Participation Comparisons (p. 3)	Displays HIP participation for your students compared with that of students at your comparison group institutions. Two views present insights into your students' HIP participation:
	Overall HIP Participation Displays the percentage of students who participated in one HIP and in two or more HIPs, relative to those at your comparison group institutions.
	Statistical Comparisons Comparisons of participation in each HIP and overall for your students relative to those at comparison group institutions, with tests of significance and effect sizes.
Response Detail (pp. 4-5)	Provides complete response frequencies for the relevant HIP questions for your students and those at your comparison group institutions. First-year results include a summary of their expectations for future HIP participation.
Participation by Student Social Identities and Experiences (p. 6)	Displays your students' participation in each HIP by selected student social identities and experiences.

Interpreting Comparisons

HIP participation varies more among students within an institution than it does between institutions, like many experiences and outcomes in higher education. As a result, focusing attention on overall participation rates amounts to examining the tip of the iceberg. It is equally important to understand how student engagement (including HIP participation) varies *within* your institution. The table on page 6 provides an initial look at how HIP participation varies by selected student social identities and experiences. Your NSSE Tableau dashboard and Report Builder (released in the fall) offer further perspectives on internal variation to help you investigate your students' HIP participation in depth.

Kilgo, C. A., Sheets, J. K. E., & Pascarella, E. T. (2015). The link between high-impact practices and student learning: Some longitudinal evidence *Higher Education*, *69*, 509-525. Kuh, G. D. (2008). High-impact educational practices: What they are, who has access to them, and why they matter. Association of American Colleges and Universities. National Survey of Student Engagement (2007). Experiences that matter: Enhancing student learning and success—Annual Report 2007. Indiana University Center for Postsecondary Research.


Rocconi, L.M., & Gonyea, R.M. (2018). Contextualizing effect sizes in the National Survey of Student Engagement: An empirical analysis Research & Practice in Assessment, 13 (Summer/Fall), pp. 22-38.



Participation Comparisons Oakland University

Overall HIP Participation

The figures below display the percentage of students who participated in High-Impact Practices. Both figures include participation in service-learning, a learning community, and research with faculty. The senior figure also includes participation in an internship or field experience, study abroad, and culminating senior experience. The first segment in each bar shows the percentage who participated in at least two HIPs, and the full bar (both colors) represents the percentage who participated in at least one.



Statistical Comparisons

The table below displays the percentage of your students who participated in a given High-Impact Practice, including the percentage who participated in at least one or in two or more HIPs. It also graphs the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison groups. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is compared to the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is compared to the comparison group percentages appear on the following pages.)

	Oakland	Great Lakes Pub			ic Carnegie Class				NSSE 2021 & 2022				
First-year	%	Difference ^a			ES ^b	Difference ^a			ES ^b	Difference ^a			ES ^b
Service-Learning	51	+8		**	.15	+3			.07	+2			.04
Learning Community	6		-6	***	22		-4	**	13		-4	**	15
Research with Faculty	5	+0			.02	+0			.02	+0			.00
Participated in at least one	53	+4			.08	+1			.03	+0			.00
Participated in two or more	6		-3	*	11		-2		06		-2		08
Senior													
Service-Learning	56	+1			.02		-2		05		-3	*	07
Learning Community	18		-6	***	14		-3	*	09		-3	*	08
Research with Faculty	17		-5	***	13		-3	*	09		-4	**	10
Internship or Field Exp.	55	+5		**	.10	+12		***	.23	+10		***	.21
Study Abroad	3		-6	***	26		-5	***	20		-5	***	20
Culminating Senior Exp.	50	+7		***	.14	+9		***	.17	+7		***	.14
Participated in at least one	87	+3		*	.08	+4		**	.12	+4		**	.11
Participated in two or more	60	+1			.02	+6		**	.11	+5		**	.09

Your students' participation compared with:

a. Percentage point differences (institution – comp. group) rounded to whole numbers. Values less than one may not display a bar and may be shown as +0 or -0.
b. Cohen's *h* (standardized difference between two proportions). Effect sizes indicate the practical importance of observed differences. For service-learning, internships, study abroad, and culminating senior experiences, an ES of about .2 may be considered small, .5 medium, and .8 large. For learning community and research with faculty, an ES of about .1 may be considered small, .3 medium, and .5 large (Rocconi & Gonyea, 2018).

*p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001 (*z*-test comparing participation rates).

Note: Participation includes the percentage of students who responded "Done or in progress" except for service-learning which is the percentage who responded that at least "Some" courses included a community-based project. All results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and by institution size for comparison groups).



Response Detail Oakland University

First-year students

Service-Learning

Learning Community

Participate in a learning

community or some other formal program

students take two or more classes together.

where groups of

About how many of	Oa
your courses at this	
institution have	Great Lakes F
included a community-	
based project (service-	Carnegie
learning)?	NICCE 2021 8
	NSSE 2021 &


% Most or all kland 8 Public 7 Class 8 2022 9

Oakland

Great Lakes Public

NSSE 2021 & 2022

Carnegie Class




% Plan to do

22

24

29

28




42

35

36

37



% Do not plan to do

Research with a Faculty Member

Research with a Faculty Member		% Done or in progress	% Plan to do	% Have not decided	% Do not plan to do	
	ork with a faculty ember on a research	Oakland	5	29	40	26
pr	oject.	Great Lakes Public	4	30	41	25
		Carnegie Class	4	31	40	25
		NSSE 2021 & 2022	5	31	40	24

% Done or in progress

6

12

9

10

Plans to Participate^a

Knowing whether first-year students plan to participate in upper-division HIPs can reveal insights about HIP demand, awareness of opportunities, and the clarity of institutional information. These results might also point to topics for additional exploration, such as what contributes to students' expectations, their assumptions about who can participate, or why other students are undecided or have no plans to participate in the activity.




18

32

34


33



Percentage responding "Plan to do"

Culminating Senior Experience

Complete a culminating senior experience (capstone course, senior project or thesis, portfolio, recital, comprehensive exam, etc.).



a. Refer to your Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons for details on the other response options.

Note: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institutional size for comparison groups).



Response Detail

% Some

45

44

46

47

10

8

11

11

11

11

13

12

22

24

27

25

6

7

9

8

31

26

25

25

% Plan to do

Oakland University

Seniors

Service-Learning	
About how many of your courses at this	Oakland
institution have	Great Lakes Public
included a community- based project (service-	Carnegie Class
learning)?	NSSE 2021 & 2022

Learning Community

Participate in a learning	Oakland
community or some	
other formal program	Great Lakes Public
where groups of	
students take two or	Carnegie Class
more classes together.	NSSE 2021 & 2022

% Most or all

% Done or in progress

11

10

12

12

18

24

21

21

17

22

20

21

55

50

43

44

3

9

8

8

Research with a Faculty Member

Work with a faculty	Oakland
member on a research	
project.	Great Lakes Public
	Carnegie Class
	NSSE 2021 & 2022

Internship or Field Experience

Participate in an	Oakland
internship, co-op, field	oundrid
experience, student	Great Lakes Public
teaching, or clinical	
placement.	Carnegie Class
	NSSE 2021 & 2022

Study Abroad

Participate in a study
abroad program.


Oakland **Great Lakes Public Carnegie Class**

NSSE 2021 & 2022


Culminating Senior Experience

Complete a culminating senior experience	Oakland	50	
(capstone course, senior project or thesis,	Great Lakes Public	43	
portfolio, recital,	Carnegie Class	42	
comprehensive exam, etc.).	NSSE 2021 & 2022	43	


Note: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institutional size for comparison groups).




% Have not decided 14 13 16 16




% Do not plan to do



% Have not decided




% Do not plan to do



% Do not plan to do

% Have not decided




15 18 18 19

% Have not decided 12 11



% Have not decided





% Do not plan to do





Participation by Student Social Identities and Experiences

Oakland University

Participation in High-Impact Practices by Student Social Identities and Experiences

The table below displays the percentage of your students who participated in each HIP by selected student social identities and experiences. Examining participation rates for different groups offers insight into how engagement varies within your student population.

	First-year				Senior					
	Service- Learning	Learning Community	Research with Faculty	Service- Learning	Learning Community	Research with Faculty	Internship or Field Experience	Study Abroad	Culminating Senior Experience	
Institution-reported sex ^a	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	
Female	50	4	5	60	21	18	56	4	57	
Male	51	9	4	49	14	16	53	2	42	
Race/ethnicity or international ^a										
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Asian	53	9	0	59	18	18	50	2	41	
Black or African American	51	3	5	58	20	4	42	4	53	
Hispanic or Latino	48	7	4	50	13	13	48	3	40	
Native Hawaiian/Other Pac. Islander	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
White	48	5	5	57	20	19	58	3	54	
Other	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Foreign or nonresident	62	0	0	76	18	6	0	18	41	
Two or more races/ethnicities	53	0	0	47	6	22	53	13	50	
Age										
FY < 21, Seniors < 25	50	5	4	60	21	21	62	4	59	
FY 21+, Seniors 25+	_	_	_	48	11	6	37	2	33	
First-generation ^b										
Continuing generation	51	8	6	58	22	22	57	5	55	
First-generation	50	2	3	56	15	12	54	2	50	
Enrollment status ^a		· · · ·								
Not full-time	35	6	0	49	10	6	41	1	33	
Full-time	51	5	5	59	21	20	59	4	56	
Residence										
Not on campus	50	5	4	57	17	14	55	3	49	
On campus	51	6	6	56	30	39	62	7	78	
Major category ^c	51	Ū	0	50	50	33	02	,	70	
Arts & humanities	39	7	4	47	10	19	42	6	67	
Biological sciences, agriculture, natural res.	66	4	2	48	19	50	42	5	76	
Physical sciences, math, computer science	53		3	30	12	18	55	3	48	
Social sciences	40	3	10	42	12	24	41	7	66	
Business	69		4	42	12	9	41	1	33	
Communications, media, public relations	_		_	63	13	13	71	4	71	
Education	39	3	3	83	38		86	1	47	
Engineering	53		6	38	12	14	67	5	49	
Health professions	50		4	65	22	17	47	3	43	
Social service professions	37	4	11	73	17	15	75	5	73	
Undecided/undeclared	21	0	0	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Overall	51	6	5	56	18	17	55	3	50	
			3	50	-0	-/				

Notes: Percentage of students who responded "Done or in progress" except for service-learning which is the percentage who responded that at least "Some" courses included a communitybased project. Percentages are not reported (—) for row categories containing fewer than 10 students. Results are unweighted, except for overall percentages which are weighted by sex and enrollment status.

a. Institution-reported variable. If provided, "Another" and "Unknown" categories for sex are not displayed due to low Ns, but do appear in the data file.

Race/ethnicity labels are based on IPEDS reporting requirements.

b. Neither parent (or guardian) holds a bachelor's degree.

c. These are NSSE's default related-major categories, based on first major if more than one was reported. Customizable major categories are available in your NSSE Tableau dashboards and Report Builder (released in the fall). Excludes majors categorized as "all other."